

Some counterexamples in the theory of quantum isometry groups

by

Jyotishman Bhowmick

and

Debashish Goswami

Abstract

By considering spectral triples on $S_{\mu,c}^2$ ($c > 0$) constructed by Chakraborty and Pal ([6]), we show that in general the quantum group of volume and orientation preserving isometries (in the sense of [4]) for a spectral triple of compact type may not have a C^* -action, and moreover, it can fail to be a matrix quantum group. It is also proved that the category with objects consisting of those volume and orientation preserving quantum isometries which induce C^* -action on the C^* algebra underlying the given spectral triple, may not have a universal object.

Mathematics 1991 Subject Classification: Primary 58H05 , Secondary 16W30, 46L87, 46L89

1 Introduction

In a series of articles initiated by [11] and followed by [3], [4], we have formulated and studied a quantum group analogue of the group of Riemannian isometries of a classical or noncommutative manifold. This was motivated by previous work of a number of mathematicians including Wang, Banica, Bichon and others (see, e.g. [14], [15], [1], [2], [5], [16] and references therein), who have defined quantum automorphism and quantum isometry groups of finite spaces and finite dimensional algebras. Our theory of quantum isometry groups can be viewed as a natural generalization of such quantum automorphism or isometry groups of ‘finite’ or ‘discrete’ structures to the continuous or smooth set-up. Clearly, such a generalization is crucial to study the quantum symmetries in non-commutative geometry, and in particular, for a good understanding of quantum group equivariant spectral triples.

The group of Riemannian isometries of a compact Riemannian manifold M can be viewed as the universal object in the category of all compact metrizable groups acting on M , with smooth and isometric action. Moreover, assume that the manifold has a spin structure (hence in particular orientable, so we can fix a choice of orientation) and D denotes the conventional Dirac operator acting as an unbounded self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of square integrable spinors. Then, it can be proved that the action of a compact group G on the manifold lifts as a unitary representation (possibly of some group $\tilde{G}$ which is topologically a 2-cover of G , see [8] and [9] for more details) on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which commutes with D if and only if the action on the manifold is an orientation preserving isometric action. Therefore, to define the quantum analogue of the group of orientation-preserving Riemannian isometry group of a possibly noncommutative manifold given by a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}^\infty, \mathcal{H}, D)$, it is reasonable to consider a category $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$ of compact quantum groups having unitary (co-) representation, say U , on $\mathcal{H}$, which commutes with D , and the (a-priori von Neumann algebraic) action ad_U maps $\mathcal{A}^\infty$ into its weak closure. A universal object in this category, if it exists, should define the ‘quantum group of orientation preserving Riemannian isometries’ of the underlying spectral triple. Indeed (see [4]), if we consider a classical spectral triple, the subcategory of the category $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$ consisting of groups has the classical

group of orientation preserving isometries as the universal object, which justifies our definition of the quantum analogue. Unfortunately, if we consider quantum group actions, even in the finite-dimensional (but with noncommutative $\mathcal{A}$) situation the category $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$ may often fail to have a universal object. It turns out, however, that if we fix any suitable faithful functional τ_R on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (to be interpreted as the choice of a ‘volume form’) then there exists a universal object in the subcategory $\mathbf{Q}'_R(D)$ of $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$ obtained by restricting the object-class to the quantum group actions which also preserve the given functional. The subtle point to note here is that unlike the classical group actions on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which always preserve the usual trace, a quantum group action may not do so. In fact, it was proved by one of the authors in [12] that given an object $(\mathcal{Q}, U)$ of $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$ (where $\mathcal{Q}$ is the compact quantum group and U denotes its unitary co-representation on $\mathcal{H}$), we can find a suitable functional τ_R (which typically differs from the usual trace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and can have a nontrivial modularity) which is preserved by the action of $\mathcal{Q}$. This makes it quite natural to work in the setting of twisted spectral data (as defined in [12]). It may also be mentioned that in [4] we have actually worked in slightly bigger category $\mathbf{Q}_R(D)$ of so called ‘quantum family of orientation and volume preserving isometries’ and deduced that the universal object in $\mathbf{Q}_R(D)$ exists and coincides with that of $\mathbf{Q}'_R(D)$.

Now, it is natural to ask whether ad_U induces a C^* -action (in the sense of Woronowicz and Podleś) of the compact quantum group $QISO_R^+$ (or $QISO^+$ whenever it exists) on the C^* -completion $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{A}^\infty$. This is indeed the case for group actions on manifolds, where a measurable orientation preserving isometric action by a compact group automatically becomes a smooth action, thanks to the Sobolev’s theorem. However, we show in this article by giving a rather simple counterexample that such ‘automatic continuity’ fails in the quantum situation: more precisely, ad_U does not in general induce a C^* -action of $QISO_R^+$ or $QISO^+$. From this, we also prove that the subcategory of $\mathbf{Q}'_R$ (or $\mathbf{Q}'$) consisting of $(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}, U)$ for which ad_U induces C^* -action may fail to have a universal object, thus answering in the negative a question raised by S. Wang (private communication).

2 Notations and Preliminaries

2.1 Basics of the theory of compact quantum groups

We begin by recalling the definition of compact quantum groups and their actions from [19], [18]. A compact quantum group (to be abbreviated as CQG from now on) is given by a pair $(\mathcal{S}, \Delta)$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a unital separable C^* algebra equipped with a unital C^* -homomorphism $\Delta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ (where $\otimes$ denotes the injective tensor product) satisfying

- (ai) $(\Delta \otimes id) \circ \Delta = (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ (co-associativity), and
- (aii) the linear spans of $\Delta(\mathcal{S})(\mathcal{S} \otimes 1)$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{S})(1 \otimes \mathcal{S})$ are norm-dense in $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$.

It is well-known (see [19], [18]) that there is a canonical dense $*$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{S}_0$ of $\mathcal{S}$, consisting of the matrix coefficients of the finite dimensional unitary (co)-representations (to be defined shortly) of $\mathcal{S}$, and maps $\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (co-unit) and $\kappa : \mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0$ (antipode) defined on $\mathcal{S}_0$ which make $\mathcal{S}_0$ a Hopf $*$ -algebra.

A CQG $(\mathcal{S}, \Delta)$ is said to (co)-act on a unital C^* algebra $\mathcal{B}$, if there is a unital C^* -homomorphism (called an action) $\alpha : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ satisfying the following :

- (bi) $(\alpha \otimes id) \circ \alpha = (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \alpha$, and
- (bii) the linear span of $\alpha(\mathcal{B})(1 \otimes \mathcal{S})$ is norm-dense in $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{S}$.

A unitary (co) representation of a CQG $(\mathcal{S}, \Delta)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a map U from $\mathcal{H}$ to the Hilbert $\mathcal{S}$ module $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ such that the element $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{S})$ given by $\tilde{U}(\xi \otimes b) = U(\xi)(1 \otimes b)$

$(\xi \in \mathcal{H}, b \in \mathcal{S}))$ is a unitary satisfying

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}_{(12)}\tilde{U}_{(13)},$$

where for an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ we have denoted by $X_{(12)}$ and $X_{(13)}$ the operators $X \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}_2} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$, and $\Sigma_{23}X_{12}\Sigma_{23}$ respectively (Σ_{23} being the unitary on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ which flips the two copies of $\mathcal{H}_2$).

Given a unitary representation U we shall denote by ad_U the $*$ -homomorphism $\text{ad}_U(X) = \tilde{U}(X \otimes 1)\tilde{U}^*$ for $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

We say that a (possibly unbounded) operator T on $\mathcal{H}$ commutes with U if $T \otimes I$ (with the natural domain) commutes with $\tilde{U}$. Sometimes such an operator will be called U -equivariant.

2.2 The quantum group of orientation preserving Riemannian isometries

We briefly recall the definition of the quantum group of orientation preserving Riemannian isometries for a spectral triple (of compact type) $(\mathcal{A}^\infty, \mathcal{H}, D)$ as in [4]. We consider the category $\mathbf{Q}'(\mathcal{A}^\infty, \mathcal{H}, D) \equiv \mathbf{Q}'(D)$ whose objects (to be called orientation preserving isometries) are the triplets $(\mathcal{S}, \Delta, U)$, where $(\mathcal{S}, \Delta)$ is a CQG with a unitary representation U in $\mathcal{H}$, satisfying the following:

- (i) U commutes with D , i.e. $\tilde{U}$ commutes with $D \otimes I$.
- (ii) $(\text{id} \otimes \phi) \circ \text{ad}_U(a) \in (\mathcal{A}^\infty)''$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$ for every state ϕ on $\mathcal{S}$, where $\text{ad}_U(x) := \tilde{U}(x \otimes 1)\tilde{U}^*$.

The category $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$ may not have a universal object in general, as seen in [4]. In case there is a universal object, we shall denote it by $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$, with the corresponding representation $\tilde{U}$, say, and we denote by $QISO^+(D)$ the Woronowicz subalgebra of $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$ generated by the elements of the form $\langle \xi \otimes 1, \text{ad}_U(a)(\eta \otimes 1) \rangle$, where $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}, a \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$ -valued inner product of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$. The quantum group $QISO^+(D)$ will be called the quantum group of orientation-preserving Riemannian isometries of the spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}^\infty, \mathcal{H}, D)$.

Although the category $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$ may sometimes fail to have a universal object, we can always get a universal object in suitable subcategories which will be described now. Suppose that we are given an invertible positive (possibly unbounded) operator R on $\mathcal{H}$ which commutes with D . Then we consider the full subcategory $\mathbf{Q}'_R(D)$ of $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$ by restricting the object class to those $(\mathcal{S}, \Delta, U)$ for which $\mathcal{S}$ is τ_R -invariant, i.e. $(\tau_R \otimes \text{id})(\text{ad}_U(X)) = \tau_R(X)1$ for all X in the $*$ -subalgebra generated by operators of the form $|\xi \rangle \langle \eta|$, where ξ, η are eigenvectors of the operator D which by assumption has discrete spectrum, and $\tau_R(X) = \text{Tr}(RX) = \langle \eta, R\xi \rangle$ for $X = |\xi \rangle \langle \eta|$. We shall call the objects of $\mathbf{Q}'_R(D)$ orientation and (R -twisted) volume preserving isometries. It is clear (see Remark 2.9 in [4]) that when Re^{-tD^2} is trace-class for some $t > 0$, the above condition is equivalent to the condition that ad_U preserves the bounded normal functional $\text{Tr}(\cdot Re^{-tD^2})$ on the whole of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. It is shown in [4] that the category $\mathbf{Q}'_R(D)$ always admits a universal object, to be denoted by $\widetilde{QISO}_R^+(D)$, and the Woronowicz subalgebra generated by $\{\langle \xi \otimes 1, \text{ad}_W(a)(\eta \otimes 1) \rangle, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}, a \in \mathcal{A}^\infty\}$ (where W is the unitary representation of $\widetilde{QISO}_R^+(D)$ in $\mathcal{H}$) will be denoted by $QISO_R^+(D)$ and called the quantum group of orientation and (R -twisted) volume preserving Riemannian isometries of the spectral triple.

It may also be noted that, if $QISO^+(D)$ exists, it will also be isomorphic with $QISO_R^+(D)$ for some (not necessarily unique) R . In fact, $QISO^+(D) \cong QISO_R^+(D)$ for any R such that $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$ is τ_R -invariant.

3 Quantum isometry group of the spectral triple of Chakraborty and Pal on $S_{\mu,c}^2$, $c > 0$

The Podles' sphere $S_{\mu,c}^2$ is the universal C^* algebra generated by elements A and B satisfying the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} A^* &= A, \quad AB = \mu^{-2}BA, \\ B^*B &= A - A^2 + cI, \quad BB^* = \mu^2A - \mu^4A^2 + cI. \end{aligned}$$

Let us describe the spectral triple on $S_{\mu,c}^2$ introduced and studied in [6].

Let $\mathcal{H}_+ = \mathcal{H}_- = l^2(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$.

Let $\{e_n, n \geq 0\}$ be the canonical orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_+ = \mathcal{H}_-$ and N be the operator defined on it by $N(e_n) = ne_n$.

We recall the irreducible representations $\pi_{\pm} : \mathcal{H}_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\pm}$ given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\pm}(A)e_n &= \lambda_{\pm}\mu^{2n}e_n, \\ \pi_{\pm}(B)e_n &= c_{\pm}(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}e_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_{-1} = 0$, $\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm (c + \frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$c_{\pm}(n) = \lambda_{\pm}\mu^{2n} - (\lambda_{\pm}\mu^{2n})^2 + c. \quad (1)$$

Let $\pi = \pi_+ \oplus \pi_-$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Then $(S_{\mu,c}^2, \pi, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is a spectral triple of compact type.

We note that the eigenvalues of D are $\{m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the one-dimensional eigenspace corresponding to m is spanned by $\begin{pmatrix} e_m \\ e_m \end{pmatrix}$ when $m \geq 0$, and by $\begin{pmatrix} e_{-m} \\ -e_{-m} \end{pmatrix}$ when $m < 0$.

It follows from the definition of $\pi_{\pm}(B)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_+(B^*)(e_n) &= c_+(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}e_{n+1}, \\ \pi_-(B^*)(e_n) &= c_-(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}e_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1 $\pi(S_{\mu,c}^2)'' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}) : X_{12} = X_{21} = 0 \right\}$.

Proof : It suffices to prove that the commutant $\pi(S_{\mu,c}^2)'$ is the von Neumann algebra of operators of the form $\begin{pmatrix} c_1I & 0 \\ 0 & c_2I \end{pmatrix}$ for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We use the fact that π_+ and π_- are irreducible representations.

Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \pi(S_{\mu,c}^2)'$. Using the fact that X commutes with $\pi(A)$, $\pi(B)$, $\pi(B^*)$, we have: $X_{11} \in \pi_+(S_{\mu,c}^2)' \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $X_{22} \in \pi_-(S_{\mu,c}^2)' \cong \mathbb{C}$, so let $X_{11} = c_1I$, $X_{22} = c_2I$ for some c_1, c_2 .

Moreover,

$$X_{12}\pi_-(A) = \pi_+(A)X_{12}, \quad (2)$$

$$X_{12}\pi_-(B) = \pi_+(B)X_{12}, \quad (3)$$

$$X_{12}\pi_-(B^*) = \pi_+(B^*)X_{12}. \quad (4)$$

Now, (3) implies $X_{12}e_0 \in \text{Ker}(\pi_+(B)) = \mathbb{C}e_0$. Let $X_{12}e_0 = p_0e_0$.

We have, $\pi_+(B)(X_{12}e_1) = c_-(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}X_{12}e_0 = p_0c_-(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}e_0$, i.e, $\pi_+(B)(X_{12}e_1) \in \mathbb{C}e_0$.

Since it follows from the definition of $\pi_+(B)$ that $\pi_+(B)$ maps $\overline{\text{span}\{e_i : i \geq 2\}}$ to $(\mathbb{C}e_0)^\perp = \overline{\text{span}\{e_i : i \geq 1\}}$, $X_{12}e_1$ must belong to $\text{span}\{e_0, e_1\}$.

Inductively, we conclude that for all n , $X_{12}(e_n) \in \text{span}\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$.

Using the definition of $\pi_\pm(B^*)e_n$ along with (4), we have $c_-(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}X_{12}e_1 = p_0c_+(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}e_1$, i.e, $X_{12}e_1 = p_0 \frac{c_+(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{c_-(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}e_1$.

We argue in a similar way by induction that $X_{12}e_n = c'_n e_n$ for some constants c'_n .

Now we apply (4) and (3) on the vectors e_n and e_{n+1} to get

$$c'_{n+1} = \frac{c'_n c_+(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{c_-(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \text{ and } c'_{n+1} = \frac{c'_n c_-(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{c_+(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Since $c_+(n+1) \neq c_-(n+1)$ for $n \geq 0$, we have $c'_n = 0$.

Hence, $c'_n = 0$ for all n implying $X_{12} = 0$.

It follows similarly that $X_{21} = 0$. $\square$

Let $(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \Delta, U)$ be an object in the category $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$, with $\alpha = \text{ad}_U$ and the corresponding Woronowicz C^* subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ generated by $\{< (\xi \otimes 1), \alpha(x)(\eta \otimes 1) >_{\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}}, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}, x \in S_{\mu, c}^2\}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{Q}$. Assume, without loss of generality, that the representation U is faithful.

Since U commutes with D , it preserves the eigenvectors $\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ -e_n \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\text{Let } U \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes q_n^+,$$

$$U \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ -e_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ -e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes q_n^-,$$

for some $q_n^+, q_n^- \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. By the assumption of faithfulness, the unital C^* algebra generated by the elements q_n^+, q_n^- will be the whole of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$.

Lemma 3.2 *We have:*

$$q_n^+ q_n^{-*} = q_n^- q_n^{+*} \text{ for all } n, \quad (5)$$

$$(c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_{n-1}^+ q_n^{+*} - q_{n-1}^- q_n^{-*}) + (c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_{n-1}^+ q_n^{-*} - q_{n-1}^- q_n^{+*}) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1, \quad (6)$$

$$(c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_{n-1}^+ q_n^{+*} - q_{n-1}^- q_n^{-*}) + (c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_{n-1}^- q_n^{+*} - q_{n-1}^+ q_n^{-*}) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1, \quad (7)$$

$$(c_+(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_-(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_{n+1}^+ q_n^{+*} - q_{n+1}^- q_n^{-*}) = (c_+(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_-(n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_{n+1}^- q_n^{+*} - q_{n+1}^+ q_n^{-*}) \text{ for all } n. \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Proof : One has, } \alpha(A) \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \tilde{U}(A \otimes 1) \tilde{U}^* \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{U}(A \otimes 1) \tilde{U}^* \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1 + \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ -e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{U} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \mu^{2n} e_n \\ \lambda_- \mu^{2n} e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes q_n^{+*} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \mu^{2n} e_n \\ -\lambda_- \mu^{2n} e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes q_n^{-*} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \tilde{U} \left[\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes \{ \lambda_+ \mu^{2n} (q_n^{+*} + q_n^{-*}) + \lambda_- \mu^{2n} (q_n^{+*} - q_n^{-*}) \} + \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ -e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes \{ \lambda_+ \mu^{2n} (q_n^{+*} + q_n^{-*}) - \lambda_- \mu^{2n} (q_n^{+*} - q_n^{-*}) \} \right] \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{4} \{ \lambda_+ \mu^{2n} (1 + q_n^+ q_n^{-*}) + \lambda_- \mu^{2n} (1 - q_n^+ q_n^{-*}) + \lambda_+ \mu^{2n} (1 + q_n^- q_n^{+*}) - \lambda_- \mu^{2n} (q_n^- q_n^{+*} - 1) \} \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{4} \{ \lambda_+ \mu^{2n} (1 + q_n^+ q_n^{-*}) + \lambda_- \mu^{2n} (1 - q_n^+ q_n^{-*}) - \lambda_+ \mu^{2n} (1 + q_n^- q_n^{+*}) + \lambda_- \mu^{2n} (q_n^- q_n^{+*} - 1) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha(A)$ maps $\pi(S_{\mu,c}^2)$ into its double commutant, we conclude by using the description of $\pi(S_{\mu,c}^2)''$ given in Lemma 3.1 that the coefficient of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}$ in $\alpha(A) \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ must be 0, which implies $q_n^+ q_n^{-*} = q_n^- q_n^{+*}$.

Proceeding in a similar way, (6), (7), (8) follow from the facts that coefficients of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} e_{n-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$ in $\alpha(B) \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha(B) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $\alpha(B^*) \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (respectively) are zero. $\square$

For a series of the form $\sum_n T_n$, with $T_n \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ for some Hilbert C^* -module E , let us say that $\sum_n T_n$ converges point-wise if for every ξ in E , the series $\sum_n T_n \xi$ converges in the norm of E . With this terminology, we have the following

Corollary 3.3 *If P_n, Q_n denote the projections onto the subspace generated by $\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}$ respectively, then $P_n, Q_n \in \pi(S_{\mu,c}^2)$ and we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(A) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A P_n \otimes \frac{1}{2\lambda_+} \{ \lambda_+ (1 + q_n^+ q_n^{-*}) + \lambda_- (1 - q_n^+ q_n^{-*}) \} \\
&+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A Q_n \otimes \frac{1}{2\lambda_-} \{ \lambda_+ (1 - q_n^+ q_n^{-*}) + \lambda_- (1 + q_n^+ q_n^{-*}) \}, \\
\alpha(B) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B P_n \otimes \frac{1}{4c_+(n)} [(c_+(n))^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}] (q_{n-1}^+ q_n^{+*} + q_{n-1}^- q_n^{-*}) \\
&+ (c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}) (q_{n-1}^- q_n^{+*} + q_{n-1}^+ q_n^{-*}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B Q_n \otimes \frac{1}{4c_-(n)} [(c_+(n))^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$(q_{n-1}^+ q_n^{+*} + q_{n-1}^- q_n^{-*}) - (c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_{n-1}^+ q_n^{-*} + q_{n-1}^- q_n^{+*}).$$

Here, each of the series of elements of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$ appearing on the right hand sides, converges in the point-wise sense defined before the statement of the corollary.

Proof : It is easy to see that P_n, Q_n are the eigenprojections of B^*B for the eigenvalues $c_+(n), c_-(n)$ respectively, and these are isolated points in the spectrum, that is in the point spectrum, so by the spectral theorem, P_n, Q_n belong to $C^*(B^*B) \subset \pi(S_{\mu,c}^2)$. The proof now follows by applying Lemma 3.2 on $\alpha(A) \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha(A) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}, \alpha(B) \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha(B) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}$. $\square$

Proposition 3.4 *As a C^* -algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ is generated by the unitaries $\{q_n^+\}_{n \geq 0}$, and the self-adjoint unitary $y_0 = q_0^{-*} q_0^+$. Moreover, $\mathcal{Q}$ is generated by unitaries $z_n = q_{n-1}^+ q_n^{+*}$, $n \geq 1$ along with a self adjoint unitary w' .*

Proof : Replacing $n + 1$ by n in (8) we have,

$$(c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_n^+ q_{n-1}^{+*} - q_n^- q_{n-1}^{-*}) - (c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_n^- q_{n-1}^{+*} - q_n^+ q_{n-1}^{-*}) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \quad (9)$$

Subtracting (9) from the equation obtained by applying $*$ on (6), we have : $2(c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_n^- q_{n-1}^{+*} - q_n^+ q_{n-1}^{-*}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ which gives :

$$q_n^- q_{n-1}^{+*} = q_n^+ q_{n-1}^{-*} \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \quad (10)$$

Using (10) in (9), we have

$$q_n^+ q_{n-1}^{+*} = q_n^- q_{n-1}^{-*} \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \quad (11)$$

Let $y_n = q_n^{-*} q_n^+$.

Then, using (5), we observe that y_n is a self adjoint unitary.

Moreover, from (10), we have

$$q_n^- = q_n^+ y_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \quad (12)$$

From (8) we have

$$(c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_n^+ q_{n-1}^{+*} - q_n^- q_{n-1}^{-*}) = (c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})(q_n^- q_{n-1}^{+*} - q_n^+ q_{n-1}^{-*}) \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \quad (13)$$

Next, using (11), we obtain $q_n^{-*} q_n^+ = q_{n-1}^{-*} q_{n-1}^+$ for all $n \geq 1$ implying

$$y_n = y_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \quad (14)$$

From (12) and (14) and the faithfulness of the representation U , we conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ is generated by $\{q_n^+\}_{n \geq 0}$ and y_0 .

Now we prove the second part of the proposition. Let $\tilde{P}_n = P_n + Q_n \in \pi(S_{\mu,c}^2)$. Then, for all $v \in \mathcal{H}, \alpha(\tilde{P}_n)v = \tilde{P}_n v \otimes 1$.

We note that for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$, $\alpha(\widetilde{AP_k})v = \alpha(A)(\widetilde{P_k}v \otimes 1) = AP_kv \otimes \frac{1}{2\lambda_+}\{\lambda_+(1 + q_k^+q_k^{-*}) + \lambda_-(1 - q_k^+q_k^{-*})\} + AQ_kv \otimes \frac{1}{2\lambda_-}\{\lambda_+(1 - q_k^+q_k^{-*}) + \lambda_-(1 + q_k^+q_k^{-*})\}$.

Hence, $\lambda_+(1 + q_m^+q_m^{-*}) + \lambda_-(1 - q_m^+q_m^{-*})$ and $\lambda_+(1 - q_m^+q_m^{-*}) + \lambda_-(1 + q_m^+q_m^{-*}) \in \mathcal{Q}$ for all m .

Subtracting, we get $q_m^+q_m^{-*} \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Using the expression of $\alpha(B)$ in a similar way, we have

$$q_{n-1}^+q_n^{+*} + q_{n-1}^-q_n^{-*} \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ for all } n \geq 1, \quad (15)$$

$$q_{n-1}^-q_n^{+*} + q_{n-1}^+q_n^{-*} \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \quad (16)$$

Using $q_n^- = q_n^+y_0$ (as observed from the first part of the proof) in (15) and (16), we conclude that $q_{n-1}^+q_n^{+*}$ and $q_{n-1}^+y_0q_n^{+*} \in \mathcal{Q}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &= q_{n-1}^+q_n^{+*}, \\ w_n &= q_{n-1}^+y_0q_n^{+*}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Then, we observe that $z_n^*w_n = q_n^+y_0q_n^{+*} = q_n^+q_n^{-*}$.

Moreover,

$$q_0^+q_0^{-*} = q_0^+(q_0^+y_0^*)^* = q_0^+y_0q_0^{+*} = q_0^+y_0q_1^{+*}q_1^+q_0^{+*} = w_1z_1^*.$$

Thus for all $n \geq 0$, $q_n^+q_n^{-*}$ belong to $C^*(\{z_n, w_n\}_{n \geq 1})$.

For all $n \geq 2$, $q_{n-1}^-q_n^{-*} = q_{n-1}^+y_{n-2}^*(q_n^+y_{n-1}^*)^* = q_{n-1}^+y_0^*y_0q_n^{+*} = q_{n-1}^+q_n^{+*} = q_{n-1}^+q_n^{+*} = z_n$,

$$q_0^-q_1^{-*} = q_0^+y_0(q_1^+y_0)^* = q_0^+y_0y_0^*q_1^{+*} = q_0^+q_1^{+*} = z_1.$$

Finally,

$$q_{n-1}^-q_n^{+*} = q_{n-1}^+y_0^*q_n^{+*} = w_n$$

and

$$q_0^-q_1^{+*} = q_0^+y_0q_1^{+*} = w_1.$$

Now, from the expressions of $\alpha(A)$ and $\alpha(B)$, it is clear that $\mathcal{Q}$ is generated by $q_n^+q_n^{-*}$, $q_{n-1}^+q_n^{+*} + q_{n-1}^-q_n^{-*}$, $q_{n-1}^-q_n^{+*} + q_{n-1}^+q_n^{-*}$. By the above made observations, these belong to $C^*(\{z_n, w_n\}_{n \geq 1})$ which implies that $\mathcal{Q}$ is a C^* subalgebra of $C^*(\{z_n, w_n\}_{n \geq 1})$. Moreover, from the definitions of z_n , w_n it is clear that $C^*(\{z_n, w_n\}_{n \geq 1})$ is a C^* subalgebra of $\mathcal{Q}$.

Therefore, $\mathcal{Q} \cong C^*(\{z_n, w_n\}_{n \geq 1})$.

In fact, a simpler description is possible by noting that $w_{n+1} = z_n^*w_nz_{n+1}$ which implies $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1} \in C^*(\{z_n\}_{n \geq 1}, w_1)$.

Defining $w' = w_1^*z_1$ and using the expressions for z_1 and w_1 we note that w' is a self adjoint unitary.

Thus $\mathcal{Q} \cong C^*\{\{z_n\}_{n \geq 1}, w'\}$. $\square$

We now look at the coproduct, say Δ of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. We have,

$$(id \otimes \Delta)U \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} = U_{(12)}U_{(13)} \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix},$$

from which we immediately conclude the following:

Lemma 3.5 $\Delta(q_n^\pm) = q_n^\pm \otimes q_n^\pm$,

$$\Delta(y_1) = y_1 \otimes y_1.$$

Let us now consider the quantum group $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ which is the dual of the discrete group $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}^\infty$, where $\mathbb{Z}^\infty = \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} * \dots$ denotes the free product of countably infinitely many copies of $\mathbb{Z}$. The underlying C^* -algebra is nothing but $C(\mathbb{Z}_2) * C(\mathbb{T}) * C(\mathbb{T}) * \dots$, and let us denote by r_n^+ the generator of n th copy of $C(\mathbb{T})$ and by y the generator of $C(\mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Define

$$V \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes r_n^+,$$

$$V \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ -e_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ -e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes r_n^+ y.$$

Then V commutes with D and it can be easily checked that V is a unitary representation of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0$, i.e. $(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0, \Delta_0, V)$ (where Δ_0 denotes the coproduct on $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0$, given by $\Delta_0(r_n^+) = r_n^+ \otimes r_n^+$, $\Delta_0(y) = y \otimes y$) is an object in $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$.

Setting $r_n^- = r_n^+ y$, we observe that r_n^- is a unitary and satisfies :

$$r_n^- r_{n-1}^{+*} = r_n^+ r_{n-1}^{-*} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad (17)$$

$$r_n^+ r_{n-1}^{+*} = r_n^- r_{n-1}^{-*} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (18)$$

Using (17) in (18) we have $r_{n-1}^+ = r_{n-1}^- r_{n-1}^{+*} r_{n-1}^-$.

This implies

$$r_n^+ r_n^{-*} = r_n^- r_n^{+*} \quad \text{for all } n. \quad (19)$$

Moreover, taking $*$ on (17) and (18) respectively, we get the following:

$$r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{-*} - r_{n-1}^- r_n^{+*} = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad (20)$$

$$r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*} - r_{n-1}^- r_n^{-*} = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (21)$$

Thus, the equations (5) - (8) in Lemma 3.2 are satisfied with $q_n^\pm$'s replaced by $r_n^\pm$'s and hence it is easy to see that there is a C^* -homomorphism from $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ sending y, r_n^+ to y_0 and q_n^+ respectively, which is surjective by Proposition 3.4 and is a CQG morphism by Lemma 3.5. In other words, $(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0, \Delta_0, V)$ is indeed a universal object in $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$. It is clear that the maximal Woronowicz subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ for which the action is faithful, i.e. $QISO^+(D)$, is generated by $r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*}$, $n \geq 1$ and $r_0^+ y r_1^{+*}$, so again isomorphic with $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}^\infty)$.

This is summarized in the following:

Theorem 3.6 *The universal object in the category $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$, i.e. $\widetilde{QISO^+(D)}$ exists and is isomorphic with $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}^\infty)$. Moreover, the quantum group $QISO^+(D)$ is again isomorphic with $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}^\infty)$.*

Remark 3.7 *This example shows that $QISO^+$ in general may not be matrix quantum group, i.e. may not have a finite dimensional fundamental unitary, even if the underlying spectral triple is of compact type. This is somewhat against the intuition derived from the classical situation, since for a classical compact Riemannian manifold, the group of isometries is always a compact Lie group, hence has an embedding into the group of orthogonal matrices of some finite dimension.*

4 $QISO^+$ not having C^* -action

For the rest of the article, we shall denote ad_V by α , where V is the unitary representation of $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$ as in the previous section. We shall now prove that α is not a C^* action. Before that, we recall some useful properties of the so-called Toeplitz algebra from [10].

Proposition 4.1 *Let τ_1 be the unilateral shift operator on $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ defined by $\tau_1(e_n) = e_{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$, $\tau(e_0) = 0$. Then the C^* algebra $C^*(\tau_1)$ generated by τ_1 on $l^2(\mathbb{N})$, which is called the Toeplitz algebra, is a simple C^* algebra and it contains all compact operators. Moreover, the commutator of any two elements of $C^*(\tau_1)$ is compact.*

Let τ be the operator on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by $\tau = \tau_1 \otimes \text{id}$.

Lemma 4.2 *One has the following polar decomposition of B :*

$$B = \tau |B|.$$

Proof : We note that

$$\begin{aligned} |B| \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= (A - A^2 + cI)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\lambda_+ \mu^{2n} - \lambda_+^2 \mu^{4n} + c} \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and hence } \tau |B| \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_{n-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = B \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Similarly, $\tau |B| \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} \right) = B \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} \right)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4.3

$$\alpha(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau(P_n + Q_n) \otimes r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*},$$

where $r_n^\pm$ are the elements of $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$ introduced before.

Proof : For all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\tau) \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= \tilde{V}(\tau \otimes \text{id}) \tilde{V}^* \left(\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{V}(\tau \otimes \text{id}) \tilde{V}^* \left[\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ -e_n \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{V}(\tau \otimes \text{id}) \left[\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes r_n^{+*} + \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ -e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes r_n^{-*} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{V}(\tau \otimes \text{id}) \left[\begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes (r_n^{+*} + r_n^{-*}) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} \otimes (r_n^{+*} - r_n^{-*}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{V} \left[\begin{pmatrix} e_{n-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes (r_n^{+*} + r_n^{-*}) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \otimes (r_n^{+*} - r_n^{-*}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \tilde{V} \left[\begin{pmatrix} e_{n-1} \\ e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \otimes (2r_n^{+*}) + \begin{pmatrix} e_{n-1} \\ -e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \otimes (2r_n^{-*}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} e_{n-1} \\ e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \otimes r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*} + \begin{pmatrix} e_{n-1} \\ -e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \otimes r_{n-1}^- r_n^{-*} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} e_{n-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\alpha(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \otimes r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Moreover, $\alpha(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$.

Thus, $\alpha(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau P_n \otimes r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau Q_n \otimes r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau(P_n + Q_n) \otimes r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*}$. $\square$

Theorem 4.4 *The $*$ -homomorphism α is not a C^* action.*

Proof : We begin with the observation that each of the C^* algebras $\pi_{\pm}(S_{\mu,c}^2)$ is nothing but the Toeplitz algebra. For example, consider $\mathcal{C} := \pi_+(S_{\mu,c}^2)$. Clearly, $T = \pi_+(B)$ is an invertible operator with the polar decomposition given by, $T = \tau_1|T|$, hence τ_1 belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}$ contains the Toeplitz algebra $C^*(\tau_1)$, which by Proposition 4.1 contains all compact operators. In particular, $C^*(\tau_1)$ must contain $\pi_+(A)$. Since $C^*(\tau_1)$ is clearly unital, it contains $|\pi_+(B)| = \sqrt{\pi_+(A) - \pi_+(A)^2 + cI}$, and hence also $\pi_+(B) = \tau_1|\pi_+(B)|$. Thus, it must contain the whole of $\mathcal{C}$. Similar arguments will work for $\pi_-(S_{\mu,c}^2)$.

Thus, $\tau = \tau_1 \oplus \tau_1 = \pi(B)|\pi(B)|^{-1}$ belongs to $\pi(S_{\mu,c}^2)$. If α is a C^* action, then for an arbitrary state ϕ on $QISO^+(D)$ we must have $\alpha_{\phi}(\tau) \equiv (\text{id} \otimes \phi) \circ \alpha(\tau)$ is in $\pi(S_{\mu,c}^2)$, hence $\alpha_{\phi}(\tau)P_+$ must belong to $\mathcal{C} = \pi_+(S_{\mu,c}^2)$, where P_+ denotes the projection onto $\mathcal{H}_+$. By Proposition 4.1, this implies that $[\alpha_{\phi}(\tau)P_+, \tau_1]$ must be a compact operator. We claim that for suitably chosen ϕ , this compactness condition is violated, which will complete the proof of the theorem.

To this end, fix any nonzero real number θ and consider the sequence $\lambda_n = e^{2\pi i n \theta}$ of complex number of unit modulus. Let us consider the unital $*$ -homomorphisms ϕ_n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where $\phi_0 : C(Z_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ sends the generator y of $C(Z_2)$ to 1 and ϕ_n is defined on the n -th copy of $C(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\phi_n(r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*}) = 1$. Thus, we have a unique unital $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : QISO^+(D) = C(Z_2) * C(\mathbb{T})^{*\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which extends the above mentioned homomorphisms. Clearly, $\alpha_{\phi}(\tau) = \sum_n \lambda_n \tau(P_n + Q_n)$. Moreover, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha_{\phi}(\tau)P_+, \tau_1] \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \phi)\alpha(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} e_{n-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \tau(\text{id} \otimes \phi) \left[\begin{pmatrix} e_{n-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes r_{n-1}^+ r_n^{+*} \right] \\ &= (\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n) \begin{pmatrix} e_{n-2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $[\alpha_{\phi}(\tau)P_+, \tau_1] \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, the above commutator cannot be compact since $\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}$ does not go to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

Corollary 4.5 *The subcategory of $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$ consisting of objects $(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}, U)$ where ad_U is a C^* action does not have a universal object.*

Proof: By Theorem 4.4, the proof will be complete if we can show that if a universal object exists for the subcategory (say $\mathbf{Q}'_1$) mentioned above, then it must be isomorphic with $\widetilde{QISO^+(D)}$. For this, consider the quantum subgroups $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_N$, $N = 1, 2, \dots$, of $\widetilde{QISO^+(D)}$ generated by r_n^+ , $n = 1, \dots, N$ and y . Let $\pi_N : \widetilde{QISO^+(D)} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_N$ be the CQG morphism given by $\pi_N(y) = y$, $\pi_N(r_n^+) = r_n^+$ for $n \leq N$ and $\pi_N(r_n^+) = 1$ for $n > N$.

We claim that $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_N, U_N := (\text{id} \otimes \pi_N) \circ V)$ is an object in $\mathbf{Q}'_1$ (where V denotes the unitary representation of $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$ on $\mathcal{H}$). To see this, we first note that for all N ,

$$(\text{id} \otimes \pi_N)\alpha(A) = \sum_{n=0}^N AP_n \otimes \frac{1}{2\lambda_+} \{ \lambda_+(1+r_n^+ yr_n^{+*}) + \lambda_-(1-r_n^+ yr_n^{+*}) \} + \sum_{n=0}^N AQ_n \otimes \frac{1}{2\lambda_-} \{ \lambda_+(1-r_n^+ yr_n^{+*}) + \lambda_-(1+r_n^+ yr_n^{+*}) \} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} AP_n \otimes \frac{1}{2\lambda_+} \{ \lambda_+(1+y) + \lambda_-(1-y) \} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} AQ_n \otimes \frac{1}{2\lambda_-} \{ \lambda_+(1-y) + \lambda_-(1+y) \}.$$

Among the four summands, the first two clearly belong to $S_{\mu,c}^2 \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_N$. Moreover, the sum of the third and the fourth summand equals $A(1 - \sum_{n=1}^N P_n) \otimes \frac{1}{2\lambda_+} \{ \lambda_+(1+y) + \lambda_-(1-y) \} + A(1 - \sum_{n=1}^N Q_n) \otimes \frac{1}{2\lambda_-} \{ \lambda_+(1-y) + \lambda_-(1+y) \}$ which is an element of $S_{\mu,c}^2 \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_N$.

We proceed similarly in the case of B , to note that it is enough to show that for all N ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=N+2}^{\infty} BP_n \otimes \frac{c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2c_+(n)} + \sum_{n=N+2}^{\infty} BP_n \otimes \frac{(c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})y}{2c_+(n)} \\ & + \sum_{n=N+2}^{\infty} BQ_n \otimes \frac{c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2c_-(n)} - \sum_{n=N+2}^{\infty} BQ_n \otimes \frac{(c_+(n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_-(n)^{\frac{1}{2}})y}{2c_-(n)} \end{aligned}$$

belongs to $S_{\mu,c}^2 \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_N$. The norm of the second and the fourth term can be easily seen to be finite. The first term equals $\frac{1}{2}B(1 - \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} P_n)[(A - A^2 + cI)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (A - A^2 + cI)^{-1} \{ \frac{\lambda_-}{\lambda_+} A - (\frac{\lambda_-}{\lambda_+} A)^2 + cI \}^{\frac{1}{2}}] \otimes 1$ and therefore belongs to $S_{\mu,c}^2 \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_N$. The third term can be treated similarly.

Thus, there is surjective CQG morphism ψ_N from the universal object, say $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, of $\mathbf{Q}'_1$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_N$. Clearly, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_N)_{N \geq 1}$ form an inductive system of objects in $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$, with the inductive limit being $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$, and the morphisms ψ_N induce a surjective CQG morphism (say ψ) from $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ to $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$. But $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is an object in $\mathbf{Q}'(D)$, so must be a sub-object (in particular, quantum subgroup) of the universal object in this category, that is, $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$. This gives a morphism from $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$ onto $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, which is obviously the inverse of ψ , and hence we get the desired isomorphism between $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$. $\square$

Remark 4.6 *Since it is clear from the constructions that $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D)$ is τ_I -invariant, one has $\widetilde{QISO}^+(D) = \widetilde{QISO}_I^+(D)$, and hence the spectral triple considered by us also gives an example for which $\widetilde{QISO}_I^+(D)$ does not have a C^* -action. Moreover, the subcategory of $\mathbf{Q}'_I$ consisting of the CQG's having C^* -action, does not have a universal object.*

References

- [1] Banica, T.: Quantum automorphism groups of small metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. **219**(2005), no. 1, 27–51.
- [2] Banica, T.: Quantum automorphism groups of homogeneous graphs, J. Funct. Anal. **224**(2005), no. 2, 243–280.
- [3] Bhowmick, J. and Goswami, D.: Quantum isometry groups : examples and computations, Comm. Math. Phys. **285** (2009), no. 2, 421-444, arXiv 0707.2648.

- [4] J.Bhowmick and D.Goswami, Quantum group of orientation preserving Riemannian Isometries, to appear in J. Func. Anal.
- [5] Bichon, J.: Quantum automorphism groups of finite graphs, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131**(2003), no. 3, 665–673.
- [6] Chakraborty, P. S. and Pal, A.: Spectral triples and associated Connes-de Rham complex for the quantum $SU(2)$ and the quantum sphere, Commun. Math. Phys., **240** (2003), No. 3, 447-456.
- [7] Connes, A.: *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, London-New York (1994).
- [8] Connes, Alain; Dubois-Violette, Michel. : Noncommutative finite-dimensional manifolds. I. Spherical manifolds and related examples. Comm. Math. Phys. **230** (2002), no. 3, 539–579.
- [9] Dabrowski, L.: Spinors and Theta Deformations, math.QA/0808.0440.
- [10] Davidson, Kenneth R.: *C^* algebras by examples* , Hindustan Book Agency.
- [11] Goswami, D.: Quantum Group of isometries in Classical and Non Commutative Geometry, Comm. Math. Phys. **285** (2009), no. 1, 141-160, arXiv 0704.0041.
- [12] Goswami, D.: Twisted entire cyclic cohomology, JLO cocycles and equivariant spectral triples, Rev. Math. Phys. **16**(2004), no.5, 583-602.
- [13] Podleś, P.: Quantum Spheres, Letters in Mathematical Physics **14** (1987) 193 - 202.
- [14] Wang, S.: Free products of compact quantum groups, Comm. Math. Phys. **167** (1995), no. 3, 671–692.
- [15] Wang, S.: Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces, Comm. Math. Phys. **195**(1998), 195–211.
- [16] Wang, S.: Structure and isomorphism classification of compact quantum groups $A_u(Q)$ and $B_u(Q)$, J. Operator Theory **48** (2002), 573–583.
- [17] Wang, S. : Ergodic actions of universal quantum groups on operator algebras. Comm. Math. Phys. **203** (1999), no. 2, 481–498.

- [18] Woronowicz, S. L.: Compact matrix pseudogroups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **111**(1987), no. 4, 613–665.
- [19] Woronowicz, S. L.: “Compact quantum groups”, pp. 845–884 in *Symétries quantiques (Quantum symmetries)* (Les Houches, 1995), edited by A. Connes et al., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [20] Woronowicz, S. L.: Pseudogroups, pseudospaces and Pontryagin duality, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematical Physics, Lausanne (1979)*, *Lecture Notes in Physics* 116, pp. 407-412.

Jyotishman Bhowmick : Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203, B. T. Road, Kolkata 700 108 E-mail address: jyotish r @isical.ac.in (The support from National Board of Higher Mathematics, India, is gratefully acknowledged)

Debashish Goswami (communicating author): Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203, B. T. Road, Kolkata 700 108 E-mail address: goswamid@isical.ac.in (Partially supported by a project on ‘Noncommutative Geometry and Quantum Groups’ funded by Indian National Science Academy)